

## Table of Contents

Summary .....	i
Table of Contents .....	xix
List of Appendices .....	xxi
List of Figures .....	xxii
List of Tables .....	xxiv
List of Abbreviated Terms .....	xxvi
Chapter 1 Proposed Project .....	1
1.1 Introduction .....	1
1.2 Purpose and Need .....	5
1.2.1 Purpose .....	5
1.2.2 Need .....	5
1.3 Logical Termini .....	9
1.4 Alternatives .....	10
1.4.1 Build Alternatives .....	11
1.4.2 No-Action Alternative .....	16
1.4.3 Comparison of Alternatives .....	17
1.4.4 Identification of a Preferred Alternative .....	22
1.4.5 Environmentally Superior Alternative .....	22
1.4.6 Alternatives Considered but Eliminated from Further Discussion .....	24
1.5 Permits and Approvals Needed .....	26
Chapter 2 Affected Environment, Environmental Consequences, and Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures .....	29
2.1 Human Environment .....	31
2.1.1 Land Use .....	31
2.1.1.1 Existing and Future Land Use .....	31
2.1.1.2 Consistency with State, Regional and Local Plans .....	38
2.1.2 Growth .....	48
2.1.3 Farmlands .....	56
2.1.4 Community Impacts .....	60
2.1.4.1 Community Character and Cohesion .....	60
2.1.4.2 Relocations .....	63
2.1.4.3 Environmental Justice .....	65
2.1.5 Utilities/Emergency Services .....	66
2.1.6 Traffic and Transportation/Pedestrian and Bicycle Facilities .....	70
2.1.7 Visual/Aesthetics .....	90
2.2 Physical Environment .....	96
2.2.1 Water Quality and Storm Water Runoff .....	96
2.2.2 Geology/Soils/Seismic/Topography .....	101
2.2.3 Hazardous Waste/Materials .....	105
2.2.4 Air Quality .....	111
2.2.5 Noise and Vibration .....	124
2.3 Biological Environment .....	150

2.3.1	Natural Communities .....	150
2.3.2	Wetlands and Other Waters .....	158
2.3.3	Plant Species .....	164
2.3.4	Animal Species .....	168
2.3.5	Threatened and Endangered Species .....	182
2.3.6	Invasive Species.....	200
2.4	Construction Impacts .....	202
2.5	Cumulative Impacts .....	211
Chapter 3	California Environmental Quality Act Evaluation .....	217
3.1	Determining Significance under the California Environmental Quality Act.....	217
3.2	Discussion of Significant Impacts .....	220
3.2.1	Less Than Significant Environmental Effects of the Proposed Project.....	220
3.2.2	Significant Environmental Effects of the Proposed Project .....	226
3.2.3	Unavoidable Significant Environmental Effects .....	239
3.2.4	Climate Change under the California Environmental Quality Act.....	252
3.3	Mitigation Measures for Significant Impacts under the California Environmental Quality Act.....	261
3.3.1	Land Use (1) .....	261
3.3.2	Land Use (2) .....	261
3.3.3	Aesthetics (1).....	262
3.3.4	Cultural Resources (1) .....	263
3.3.5	Hydrology and Water Quality (2).....	264
3.3.6	Geology and Soils (1) .....	264
3.3.7	Hazards and Hazardous Materials (3).....	265
3.3.8	Noise (1).....	266
3.3.9	Noise (2).....	267
3.3.10	Biological Resources (1).....	268
3.3.11	Biological Resources (2).....	269
3.3.12	Biological Resources (3).....	270
3.3.13	Biological Resources (4).....	270
3.3.14	Biological Resources (5).....	271
3.3.15	Biological Resources (6).....	279
3.3.16	Biological Resources (7).....	288
3.3.17	Construction (1) .....	289
3.3.18	Construction (2).....	290
Chapter 4	Comments and Coordination .....	293
Chapter 5	List of Preparers.....	299
Chapter 6	Distribution List.....	305
Chapter 7	References.....	309

## **List of Appendices**

Appendix A	California Environmental Quality Act Checklist
Appendix B	Resources Evaluated Relative to the Requirements of Section 4(f)
Appendix C	Title VI Policy Statement
Appendix D	Minimization and/or Mitigation Summary
Appendix D.1	Strategies to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions
Appendix E	Farmland Conversion Impact Rating for Corridor-Type Projects for the Union Valley Parkway/State Route 101 Interchange
Appendix F	Figures
Appendix G	Summary of Relocation Benefits
Appendix H	Comments, Responses, and Revisions to Draft Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Assessment
Appendix I	Cultural Resources Correspondence

List of Technical Studies that are Bound Separately .....	xxvii
---	-------

## List of Figures

*Note: All Figures Are Contained in Appendix F*

Figure 1	Project Vicinity
Figure 2	Regional Location
Figure 3A	Caltrans Levels of Service for Signalized Intersections
Figure 3B	Caltrans Levels of Service for Unsignalized Intersections
Figure 3C	Caltrans Levels of Service for Multi-lane Highways
Figure 4	Locally Preferred Alternative Location
Figure 5A	Locally Preferred Alignment Preliminary Improvement Plan A
Figure 5B	Locally Preferred Alignment Preliminary Improvement Plan B
Figure 5C	Locally Preferred Alignment Preliminary Improvement Plan C
Figure 5D	Locally Preferred Alignment Preliminary Improvement Plan D
Figure 5E	Locally Preferred Alignment Preliminary Improvement Plan E
Figure 5F	Locally Preferred Alignment Preliminary Improvement Plan F
Figure 5G	Locally Preferred Alignment Preliminary Improvement Plan G
Figure 5H	Locally Preferred Alignment Preliminary Improvement Plan H
Figure 5I	Locally Preferred Alignment Preliminary Improvement Plan I
Figure 6A	Location of Alternative Alignments and Interchange
Figure 6B	Location of Curved Alignment Alternative
Figure 6C	Location of Foster Road Alignment Alternative
Figure 6D	Location of Reduced Extension Alternative
Figure 7A	Interchange Design Variation 1
Figure 7B	Interchange Design Variation 2
Figure 7C	Interchange Design Variation 3
Figure 8	Future Roadway Network Modifications
Figure 9	Study Area Roadway Network
Figure 10A	Existing (2005) Traffic Volumes
Figure 10B	2005 Traffic Volumes
Figure 11	Locally Preferred Alignment and Curved Alignment Alternative: Geometry and Traffic Controls
Figure 12A	Locally Preferred Alignment and Curved Alignment Alternative: 20- Year (2030) Volumes
Figure 12B	Locally Preferred Alignment and Curved Alignment Alternative: 20- Year (2030) Volumes
Figure 13	Locally Preferred Alignment and Curved Alignment Alternative Phase I (2010): Geometry and Traffic Controls
Figure 14	Locally Preferred Alignment and Curved Alignment Alternative: Phase I (2010) Traffic Volumes
Figure 15	Locally Preferred Alignment and Curved Alignment Alternative Phase II (2011): Geometry and Traffic Controls
Figure 16	Locally Preferred Alignment and Curved Alignment Alternative Phase II (2011) Traffic Volumes
Figure 17	Foster Road Alignment Alternative: Geometry and Traffic Controls
Figure 18	Foster Road Alignment Alternative: 20-Year (2030) Volumes
Figure 19	Reduced Extension Alternative: Geometry and Traffic Controls
Figure 20	Reduced Extension Alternative: 20-Year (2030) Volumes

- Figure 21A No Action Alternative: Traffic Volumes
- Figure 21B No Action Alternative Traffic Volumes
- Figure 22A Locally Preferred Alternative: Noise Receptor and Soundwall Locations
- Figure 22B Curved Alignment Alternative: Noise Modeling and Soundwall Locations
- Figure 22C Foster Road Alignment Alternative: Noise Receptor and Soundwall Locations
- Figure 22D Reduced Extension Alternative: Noise Receptor and Soundwall Locations
- Figure 22E Noise Receptor Locations: Interchange Area
- Figure 23A Current Land Uses in the Project Area
- Figure 23B Current Land Uses in the Vicinity
- Figure 24A Biological Study Area- Index Map
- Figure 24B Biological Study Area- Locally-Preferred Alignment
- Figure 24C Biological Study Area- Foster Road Alignment
- Figure 24D Biological Study Area- Curved Alignment
- Figure 24E Biological Study Area- Reduced Extension Alignment
- Figure 24F Biological Study Area- Locally-Preferred, Foster Road and Curved Alignments
- Figure 24G Biological Study Area- UVP/SR 101 Interchange
- Figure 25 North Swale Wetlands
- Figure 26 South Swale Wetlands
- Figure 27 Foster Road Alignment Alternative Land Use Conflicts
- Figure 28 Existing and Post-Project View
- Figure 29 Study Area Agricultural Resources
- Figure 30 California Tiger Salamander (CTS) Localities, Critical Habitat and Project Fencing Areas Within 2,200 Feet of Breeding Ponds
- Figure 31 Future Land Uses in the Vicinity

## List of Tables

Table ES-1 Summary of Major Potential Impacts From Alternatives.....	ix
Table ES-2 Required Permits and Approvals .....	xv
Table 1-1 No-Action Alternative: Timing of Level of Service Deficiencies at Key Intersections .....	7
Table 1-2 No-Action Alternative: Traffic Volume Increases on State Routes .....	7
Table 1-3 No-Action Alternative: Traffic Volume Increases on Local Roadway Segments .....	8
Table 1-4 Comparison of Interchange Design Variations (IDV) .....	13
Table 1-5 Alternatives Comparison Table .....	19
Table 1-6 Required Permits and Approvals .....	26
Table 2-1 Future Land Uses in the Vicinity .....	33
Table 2-2 Union Valley Parkway History .....	39
Table 2-3 Population Data for the City of Santa Maria, County of Santa Barbara, and California .....	49
Table 2-4 Population Data Comparison .....	50
Table 2-5 Farmland Conversion by Alternative.....	58
Table 2-6A Orcutt Community Plan Roadway Classifications .....	71
Table 2-6B City of Santa Maria Roadway Classifications .....	72
Table 2-7 Existing (2005) P.M. Peak Hour Intersection Levels of Service (LOS).....	75
Table 2-8 Actual and Statewide Average Accident Rates-Route 101 and Santa Maria Way and Clark Avenue Interchange Ramps .....	81
Table 2-9 Existing, Design Year, and 20-Year P.M. Peak-Hour Intersection Levels of Service (LOS) for the No-Action Alternative, Locally Preferred Alternative and Curved Alignment Alternative .....	82
Table 2-10A 20-Year Peak Hour Levels of Service (LOS) – Locally Preferred and Curved Alignment Alternatives .....	83
Table 2-10B 20-Year Peak Hour Levels of Service (LOS) – Foster Road Alignment Alternative.....	86
Table 2-10C 20-Year Peak Hour Levels of Service (LOS) – Reduced Extension Alternative.....	87
Table 2-10D 20-Year Peak Hour Levels of Service (LOS) – No-Action Alternative.....	89
Table 2-11 Listing Summary of Sites Within ½ Mile of the Subject Property .....	108
Table 2-12 Air Quality Status .....	113
Table 2-13 Federal Highway Administration Noise Abatement Criteria .....	126
Table 2-14 Typical Noise Levels.....	126
Table 2-15 Existing Peak Hour Noise Levels at the State Route 101/Union Valley Parkway Interchange Area.....	130
Table 2-16 Noise Receptor Locations.....	132
Table 2-17 Summary of Traffic Noise Impacts of the Locally Preferred Alignment.....	133
Table 2-18 Summary of Traffic Noise Impacts of the Curved Alignment Alternative ...	134
Table 2-19 Summary of Traffic Noise Impacts of the Foster Road Alignment Alternative.....	135
Table 2-20 Summary of Traffic Noise Impacts of the Reduced Extension Alternative..	136
Table 2-21 2030 Peak-Hour Forecast Noise Levels at the Proposed Union Valley Parkway/State Route 101 Interchange .....	144

Table 2-22 Reasonableness Determination for Noise Minimization Measures.....	148
Table 2-23 Summary of Proposed Noise Abatement by Alternative .....	150
Table 2-24 Summary of Impacts to Special Concern Natural Communities .....	156
Table 2-25 Summary of Impacts to Wetlands.....	162
Table 2-26 Special-Status Plant Species Potentially or Actually Occurring in the Study Area .....	165
Table 2-27 Special-Status Animal Species Potentially or Actually Occurring in the Study Area .....	170
Table 2-28 Special-Status Animal Species Impacts .....	172
Table 2-29 Threatened and Endangered Species Potentially or Actually Occurring in the Study Area.....	184
Table 2-30 Direct Impacts to California Tiger Salamander and California Red- legged Frog Habitat.....	189
Table 2-31 Typical Noise Level Ranges at Roadway Construction Sites .....	205
Table 3-1 List of Less Than Significant Environmental Effects of the Proposed Project .....	220
Table 3-2 State Air Quality Standards and Air Basin Attainment Status .....	225
Table 3-3 Typical Noise Level Ranges at Roadway Construction Sites.....	233
Table 3-4 Summary of Traffic Noise Impacts of the Locally Preferred Alternative ....	242
Table 3-5 Summary of Traffic Noise Impacts of the Curved Alignment Alternative ...	243
Table 3-6 Summary of Traffic Noise Impacts of the Foster Road Alignment Alternative.....	244
Table 3-7 Summary of Traffic Noise Impacts of the Reduced Extension Alternative ..	245
Table 3-8 Fleet Carbon Dioxide (CO2) Emissions vs. Speed (Highway).....	254

## List of Abbreviated Terms

AADT	<i>Average Annual Daily Traffic</i>
AAQS	<i>Ambient Air Quality Standards</i>
ACM	<i>Asbestos Containing Material</i>
ADL	<i>Aerially deposited lead</i>
APCD	<i>Air Pollution Control District</i>
ASR	<i>Archaeological Survey Report</i>
BMPs	<i>Best Management Practices</i>
Caltrans	<i>California Department of Transportation</i>
CDFG	<i>California Department of Fish and Game</i>
CEQA	<i>California Environmental Quality Act</i>
CNDDDB	<i>California Natural Diversity Database</i>
CNPS	<i>California Native Plant Society</i>
CSC	<i>California Special Concern Species</i>
EA	<i>Environmental Assessment</i>
EIR	<i>Environmental Impact Report</i>
FE	<i>Federally listed as Endangered</i>
FHWA	<i>Federal Highway Administration</i>
FT	<i>Federally listed as Threatened</i>
HPSR	<i>Historic Properties Survey Report</i>
ISA	<i>Initial Site Assessment</i>
LOS	<i>Level of Service</i>
Mph	<i>Miles per hour</i>
NEPA	<i>National Environmental Policy Act</i>
NPDES	<i>National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System</i>
PM	<i>post mile</i>
RTIP	<i>Regional Transportation Improvement Program</i>
RTP	<i>Regional Transportation Plan</i>
RWQCB	<i>Regional Water Quality Control Board</i>
SCCAB	<i>South Central Coast Air Basin</i>
SE	<i>State listed as Endangered</i>
SHPO	<i>State Historic Preservation Officer</i>
ST	<i>State listed as Threatened</i>
STIP	<i>Statewide Transportation Improvement Program</i>
USFWS	<i>United States Fish and Wildlife Service</i>
VIA	<i>Visual Impact Assessment</i>

## **List of Technical Studies that are Bound Separately**

The following technical studies are available upon request. Please contact District 5 Environmental Coordinator Lara Bertaina for information on how to obtain the desired technical study. Ms. Bertaina can be contacted by any of the following:

E-Mail: lara\_bertaina@dot.ca.gov  
Phone: 805-542-4610  
Address: Caltrans  
Attn: Lara Bertaina  
50 Higuera Street  
San Luis Obispo, CA 93401

Technical studies conducted for this project that are available for public review include the following:

- Traffic and Circulation Study (May 2008)
- Biological Resources Studies
  - Natural Environment Study for the Union Valley Parkway/State Route 101 Interchange (September 1999)
  - Natural Environment Study for the Union Valley Parkway Extension (June 2008)
  - Delineation of “Waters of the U.S.” Union Valley Parkway Extension Project California Boulevard to Blosser Road Segment (November 2001)
  - Wetland Delineation Union Valley Parkway Extension on the East Side of State Highway 135 (July 1999)
  - Wetland Mitigation Plan Union Valley Parkway Extension Project (February 2000)
- Geologic Hazards Report for the Union Valley Parkway/State Route 101 Interchange (November 1999)
- Water Quality Report for the Union Valley Parkway/State Route 101 Interchange (February 2004)
- Paleontology Report for the Union Valley Parkway/State Route 101 Interchange (August 2003)
- Visual Impacts Studies
  - Visual Impact Study of the Proposed Union Valley Parkway Interchange Project (May 2002)
  - Visual Impact Study for the Union Valley Parkway Extension (June 2008)
- Hazardous Materials Reports

- Site Investigation Report Route 101 – Proposed Union Valley Parkway Interchange (May 2001)
  - Initial Site Assessment for the Union Valley Parkway Extension (June 2003)
- Air Quality Studies
  - Air Quality Study for the Union Valley Parkway/State Route 101 Interchange (February 2004)
  - Air Quality Study for the Union Valley Parkway Extension (June 2008)
- Noise Study (June 2008)

These technical studies are all incorporated by reference into this Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Assessment.

The Notice of Preparation and responses to the Notice of Preparation are available for review at the City of Santa Maria Public Works Department, 110 S. Pine Street, Santa Maria, California 93458.